

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
EASTERN DIVISION**

AC2T, INC. D/B/A SPARTAN  
MOSQUITO

PLAINTIFF/COUNTER-  
DEFENDANT

v.

Civil Action No. 2:21-cv-0093-TBM-RPM

LIGHTS ON DISTRIBUTORS, LLC.  
CCD WEBSTORE, LLC D/B/A THINK  
WEBSTORE, CARTER CUSTOM  
DESIGN, LLC, BRYAN CARTER, AND  
JOHN DOES1-50

DEFENDANTS/COUNTER  
PLAINTIFFS/THIRD  
PARTY PLAINTIFFS

v.

JEREMEY HIRSH, MATTHEW JACKSON,  
AND JOHN DOES 1-50

THIRD-PARTY DEFENDANTS

**DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS**

NOW COME Defendants Lights On Distributors, LLC, CCD Webstore, LLC, Carter Custom Design, LLC, and Bryan Carter and file this their Motion to Exceed Page Limits, and would state as follows:

1. On February 2, 2022, Plaintiff filed an Amended Complaint [Doc. 28]. The Amended Complaint asserts thirteen putative causes of action, not including sub-causes of action. The breach of contract claim alone alleges twelve separate putative breaches.

2. On April 1, 2022, Defendants filed a consolidated Renewed Motion to Dismiss (on behalf of all four defendants and did not file separate motions as to each defendant) with a 26-page supporting Memorandum [Docs. 41 and 42, corrected as Docs. 44 and 45], seeking dismissal of each cause of Plaintiff's Amended Complaint.

3. On May 2, 2022, Plaintiff filed a Response in Opposition to Defendants' Renewed Motion and a 36-page supporting Memorandum [Docs. 50 and 51], covering a variety of issues as to each cause of action.

4. Rule 7(b)(5) of The Local Uniform Civil Rules of the United States District Courts for the Northern District of Mississippi and the Southern District of Mississippi current as of December 1, 2021 provides “[m]ovant’s original and rebuttal memorandum briefs together may not exceed a total of thirty-five pages....”

5. Defendants have attempted to respond to each of Plaintiff’s responses as succinctly as possible in a consolidated reply memorandum, but anticipate needing an extra 8 pages of total briefing to address the arguments related to each of them.

6. The proposed reply memorandum is filed contemporaneously herewith.

7. Counsel for Defendants conferred with counsel for Plaintiff and Counsel for Plaintiff would not agree to this request.

8. WHEREFORE Defendants respectfully request that this Motion to Exceed Page Limits be granted and that Defendants be allowed a Reply in excess of 35-pages of total briefing.

Dated: May 23, 2022

LIGHTS ON DISTRIBUTORS, LLC, CCD  
WEBSTORE, LLC D/B/A THINK WEBSTORE,  
CARTER CUSTOM DESIGN, LLC, BRYAN  
CARTER

By: s/ George R. Spatz  
George R. Spatz (Pro Hac)  
Attorney for Defendants  
AMIN TALATI WASSERMAN LLP  
100 S. Wacker Drive, Suite 2000  
Chicago, IL 60606  
T: 312-466-1033  
F: 312-884-7352  
gspatz@amintalati.com

OF COUNSEL:

J. Chase Bryan (MSB 9333)  
Attorney for Defendants  
Christian Small  
409 W. Parkway Place, Suite 200  
Ridgeland, MS 39157  
T: 601-427-4031  
jcbryan@csattorneys.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, George Spatz, counsel for defendants hereby certify that, on this day, the above and foregoing was filed using the ECF Court filing system and served on counsel of record:

Seth M. Hunter  
Duke Dukes & Hunter  
Post Office Box 2055  
Hattiesburg, MS 29403  
shunter@dukeslaw.com

Dated: May 23, 2022

s/ George R. Spatz  
George R. Spatz